In the first choice, given only those two options, I chose to tell Zarah the orange switch was what enabled us to score (even though this wasn't technically true). That way, if she was asked to throw a switch herself, she would choose that one and we'd be more likely to win.

first round needed both sitches so it would be better to flip the switch with the lower probability of being on; in the second round it didn't matter since only one switch was needed

In the first round I thought about what I was most likely to do with each piece of advice and chose the one that I thought would cause me to turn on the orange switch. In the second one, it did not matter which switch was on, so the explanation itself did not matter as long as it involved one switch being turned on.

I thought about which statement would be more likely to help my game partner.

I chose to state that we scored a point because orange switch turned on hoping to influence my teammate to turn on the orange switch if we were ever given an opportunity to do so. The blue switch would switch on 80% of the time.

I tried to respond in a way that nudged the other player to manually turn on the switch with the lower chance of randomly ending up in the "on" position.

My interpretation of the instructions influenced how I responded. For example, if both switches came on, then it made sense to me that explaining either one was on to the other player made the most sense, especially since they knew that either one or both had to be on to get a point.

If we both got to pick a switch to manually turn on, I'd want to influence Zarah to pick the blue knowing I'd pick the orange to turn on.

I chose an explanation that would likely make my teammate choose the switch that was less likely to turn on.

The percentage of each switch turning on influenced my decision. By stating that the reason we got a point was because of the orange switch turning on I was trying to hint to the importance of it being on since it had relatively lower odds of turning on.]

I was influenced by the fact that I wanted Zarah to choose the orange switch to turn on in future rounds. By saying orange I put Zarah's focus on the orange switch so Zarah would have a high likelihood of choose the Orange Switch in the future.

The way you win in each scenario was how I decided how to respond (either both switches on or only one on). In the first scenario, I also took the percentage of turning on into account when responding.

I chose based on the facts I was given. I tried picking an answer that would get the other participant to turn on the switch that would benefit us.

**Preference for normal:**

I feel it's more indicative of the blue switch having a higher chance of turning on if I tell my partner that we scored a point because of it.

Just tried to go wth the one with greater probability

the factors that influenced me was I always went for the higher percentage of turning the switch on, regardless of only one switch needed to be turned on or not

The blue seems like the greatest asset toward winning any of the rounds, so I would try to aim toward using the blue whenever possible

I feel it's more indicative of the blue switch having a higher chance of turning on if I tell my partner that we scored a point because of it.

I went with the higher probability because it mattered more i think.

**Preference for abnormal:**

I decided to always turn on the orange switch because it was likely that the blue would turn on anyway.

Knowing the orange switch only had a 20 percent chance to turn on made it of the upmost performance to make sure my partner turned it on when they had the chance.

Since the blue switch turns on 80% of the time then telling her the orange switch since it has the least probability of turning on.

The orange switch turning on is far more unlikely and event, so I felt that was the one to include in my explanation.

I tried to turn the switch that was least likely to be turned on otherwise.

I chose an explanation that would likely make my teammate choose the switch that was less likely to turn on.

I always play fair so I would inform everyone involved how likely we are to get a point. It was unlikely the orange would turn on the second game, so that is why I would say we won.

The orange switch has a lower probability of being on and needs to be on in order to win

The lower probability led me to attempt to convince my teammate to turn on the orange switch when it was their turn to turn a switch on manually.

**unclear**

The likely hood of me winning a point was the deciding factor of all my responses. I wanted as many lights on as possible even if that meant that my teammate had slightly less information

I didn't give them truthful information. I gave them information that would give me the desired result, which is the highest percent chance both switches turn on.

I didn't feel like the information I could provide would really help

I mean, especially in the second instance, it wouldn't matter which of the three options I chose because it didn't matter which of the switches was on (they both needed to be on) so it would not have benefitted Zarah to hear either explanation, therefore both were equally helpful. **Now, if there was an option to explain that the orange was less likely to turn on, that would be helpful, but that wasn't an option.**